Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10040,092	Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumr		Application No. Applicant(s)					
All Participants: Status of Application: under non-final office action (1) Kuang Y, Lin. (2) Mr. Stein. (4)		nan/	10/040,092	KA	KATO ET AL.			
All Participants: (1) Kuang Y, Lin. (2) Mr. Stein. (3) (2) Mr. Stein. (4) Date of Interview: 16 December 2004 Time: 11 AM Type of Interview: 2 Telephonic	Examiner-initiated interview Summ	.	Examiner	Art	Unit			
(1) Kuang Y, Lin. (3)			Kuang Y. Lin	172	25			
(2) Mr. Stein. (4) Date of Interview: 16 December 2004 Time: 11 AM Type of Interview: Telephonic Time: 11 AM Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 12 Prior and documents discussed: 12 Prior and documents discussed: It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview If the notice of Allowability. If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview If the notice of Allowability. If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview If the notice of Allowability If the notice of Allowability If the notice of Allowabilit	All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>under non-final office action</u>						
Date of Interview: 16 December 2004 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes Mo If Yes, provide a brief description: No If Yes, provide a brief description: No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:	(1) Kuang Y. Lin.		(3)					
Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed: 10 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) <u>Mr. Stein</u> .		(4)					
Telephonic Applicant Appli	Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2004</u>		Time: <u>11 AM</u>			:		
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes 		ant's representative)					
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.							
Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed:							
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.								
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Prior art documents discussed:							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	none		; ***					
The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.							
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	The claim language was discussed. It was agreed the					ond sweeper		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.							
Evaminor/SDE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Paprocentative Signature) if appropriate)	directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second control of the applicant to the applicant to applicant to the appl	cation. Th	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written s of the inte	ummary of trview, since	he substance		
Evaminor/SDE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Paprocentative Signature) if appropriate)								
LABOURDER SEL SUBBOUREL LABOUR MORE AUDITORISM SINCE SUBSUITE SUBBOURD EN 2007/00/12/19	(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	t/Applicant's Representa	tive Signat	ure – if appr	onriate)		